The recorded reception of Sappho begins with Herodotus. At 2.135 he documents a song (ἐν μὲλεῖ) in which Sappho criticized her brother Charaxos or his mistress. A trader in Lesbian wines, he conceived a violent passion for a notorious courtesan, then a slave at Naukratis, sailed to Egypt, ransomed her at a great price, at which Sappho gave vent to her indignation in a song. Herodotus’ account is re-told, with variations and corrections by several later authors. Charaxos, if we may believe Ovid, took no less offense, turned back to sea, rejecting all Sappho’s assiduous advice and pious prayers. Grenfell and Hunt, in the first non-theological (i.e. non-biblical) papyrus published by them from Oxyrhynchus, thought they had identified part of a related poem, Sa. 5 (P. Oxy. 7), and later made a similar link with what we now call Sa. 15 (P. Oxy. 1231 fr. 1 i 1-12 + fr. 3)—although neither text names Charaxos, nor is it even certain that the ‘brother’ or ‘sister’ now certainly mentioned Sa. 5 are Charaxos or Sappho respectively. The very existence of Charaxos and his lover in Sappho’s poetry has been doubted by many scholars. The earliest author to mention Charaxos, after Herodotus, is Posidippus in a third century BC epigram (XVII Gow-Page = 122 Austin-Bastianini), who describes Sappho’s poetry as showing both Charaxos and girlfriend (there already called Doricha) in a benign light, notwithstanding an element of irony, which is as uncertain as it is untrustworthy; then Ovid. Her. 15.17-18 Charaxus / frater. We quite simply have had no clue, up until now, as to the kind of information, or its source, that could have given rise to Herodotus’ story in a way that his fifth century Athenian audience might have found credible.

---

1 Strab. 17.1.33 (p. 808, 16ff); Athen. 13.596b-d (disputing the name of the lover, and adducing Posidip. XVII Gow-Page = 122 Austin-Bastianini); Ov. Her. XV 63-70, 117-20; P. Oxy. XV 1800 fr. 1.1-35 (Sa. Test. 252 Voigt = Chamael. fr. 27 Wehrli); Sud. ατι 334 Αἰκόσος, υ 4 Ἰάδμον, and ρ 221 Ῥοδόπτος ἀνάθημα.
A newly uncovered papyrus\(^2\) changes that, offering parts of two new poems by Sappho—one which mentions prominently Charaxos and his trading at sea, and another that is an address to Aphrodite employing tropes familiar from Sappho’s love poetry elsewhere. Both poems clearly come from Sappho’s first book, where they stood in close proximity with Sa. 5 and 15. In what follows I refer to the first as the Brothers Poem, and the second as the Kypris Poem in shorthand designations for poems which as yet have no fragment numbers assigned to them in any edition.

THE PAPYRUS

A large fragment (178 x 117 mm) with the better part of the upper portion of a single column from a papyrus roll, written along the fibers, containing parts of two poems (20 and 9 lines respectively) in Sapphics in the Aeolic dialect. Top margin survives to a height of 8.1 cms, left margin to 2.0 cms, right margin to 2.0 cms. No bottom margin is visible. Twenty-two lines are preserved in their entire length. Seven lines at the bottom lack three to six letters from the beginnings and ends of lines; of the last line there are only negligible traces. The text is arranged in characteristic Sapphic stanzas consisting of four lines each, the fourth line (adonaean) being notably shorter than the first three. Column drift to the left at the left margin (‘Maas’ Law’) is present in the upper and lower halves of the column.

The papyrus is written in a formal calligraphic script, with some informal connection of letters. In addition, there are corrections both by the main scribe and by a different but contemporary hand of similar style; the latter may also have added the accents (see below), certainly in a different, lighter pen. The main hand is a small, clearly written undecorated capital, a bilinear, rounded version of the plain style, slightly compressed vertically, but avoiding too much contrast between size of letter-shapes. The top line is exceeded only by φ and ψ; bottom line only by φ and ψ (and

\(^2\) Plate 1. Now in a private collection, London. I am grateful to its anonymous owner for access to and permission to publish the papyrus and its text. Conventions of reference:

fr. 1 = fragment 1 of a papyrus other than the new papyrus, or of an author other than Sappho or Alcaeus.

Sa. 1, Alc. 1 = fragment 1 of Sappho or Alcaeus according to the edition of Voigt (unless otherwise indicated).
by Ἔ, see P. GC. 105 fr. 3 ii 12 = Sa. 5.3) although triangular letters and ξ tend to protrude slightly above the top line. M sometimes with a low bulbous, flattened middle, and sides leaning slightly inward as in Ὄλυμπος in 13; sometimes with neat finials off the tops of both sides, as ἔμε in 5 (its most calligraphic form), where it also has a little hook on the lead-in connecting stroke, which several times closes (confusingly) with the left side of the first upright to form a circlet (see 11 μεγάλας, 21 θάμ-).

Decoration is restricted to the occasional hook or finial, and to shading, the regular alternation of thick and thin strokes (vertical strokes are consistently thicker than the horizontal ones), which is noticeable but not yet formally stylized. The handwriting finds a close dated palaeographical parallel in P. Oxy. III 412, no. 23a in C. Roberts, *Greek Literary Hands* (1956), containing Julius Africanus’ *Kestoi*, which was not composed before 227 AD, and having a document of 275-6 on the back. But the hand of the Sappho has a different α, being triangular and in three strokes, reminiscent of the Formal Mixed variety of this period, rather than α with a looped bowl on the left as in the *Kestoi*, and there are other differences: e.g. the ω of the Sappho sometimes has its middle upright written in duplicate vertical strokes, side by side. Another comparably dated example is Dura Parchment 24 in Roberts, *Greek Literary Hands* no. 21b, containing Tatian, *Diatessaron*, datable between 172 and 256 AD, but most probably written between 222 and 235 on archaeological grounds, and so to be dated to the second quarter of the third century. These give a period possible for the writing of the roll ranging from late second century through the first half of third century AD, allowing for a normal working life for a scribe.

The handwriting (as well as format and line-spacing) is identical with P. GC. inv. 105. A kollesis is visible running along the right edge of the papyrus, so that it cannot have formed part of the same sheet as P. GC. inv. 105 frs. 2-3 (containing Sa. 16-17, perhaps 18 and an unknown poem, and Sa. 5), but is likely to have come from a sheet that stood directly either before or after this sheet. Occasionally, in places, ink-traces are obscured by spots of adherent material that appears to be light-brown gesso or silt, specs of which are also to be seen on the back. The top portion of the column was detached horizontally (perhaps by ancient damage?), but has been reattached in modern times. On the back, there is evidence of ancient repair along vertical stress-
The roll was apparently damaged here in ancient times (torn vertically up the middle of the column, just to left of center) and repaired in antiquity with thin strengthening strips of papyrus glued horizontally and vertically.3

Accentuation occurs three times, apparently drawn by a second hand in lighter-colored ink, sometimes crudely. Of the two clear cases of accent, at least one can best be explained as instances where the reader’s attention was being drawn to accentuation particular to the Lesbian poets.

CONTENT

Metre, language and dialect, and references (in 1 and 8) to Charaxos (known from the ancient tradition as one of Sappho’s two elder brothers) and (in 18) to Larichos (supposedly Sappho’s younger brother) point indubitably to a poem by Sappho. Ovid (Her. XV 67-8) says that she ‘advised him extensively’, with good intentions, freely, but with pious speech:

me quoque, quod monui bene multa fideliter, odit;

hoc mihi libertas, hoc pia lingua dedit.

In the new poem, a speaker addresses someone, criticizing this person for ‘always chattering about ‘Charaxos’ coming with a full ship’, saying further that the addressee does not pay heed what Zeus and the other gods know, instead of sending her to pray to Queen Hera for a safe return for Charaxos, piloting his boat, to find ‘us’ safe and sound. Everything else let us leave to the gods: fair weather comes of a sudden out of a great storm. Those who, favoured by Zeus, get a special helping daimon to release them from their troubles become completely happy and blessed. The poem closes with well-wishing for Larichos (named in the ancient tradition as Sappho’s younger brother), to grow up to be a member of the leisured, aristocratic class and so ‘release us from many sufferings’. This poem is then followed in the papyrus by another, previously unattested poem addressed to ‘Kypris’ on the subject of love, with some recognisable similarity to the theme and phrasing of Sa. 1 and several other fragments of the Lesbian poets. Although there are sufficient fragmentary and uncertain readings here to warrant proceeding with caution, enough of the content can be distilled from the remaining lines to reconstruct a poem on the subject of passion, narrating (as an exemplum in her present plight) a past occasion on which the assistance of Aphrodite was sought. The succession of poems shows a specific sequence, i.e. a love poem following upon a poem about the Brothers. Given
the meter, both poems were presumably from book one of Sappho, all the poems of which were in the same meter (Sapphic strophe). All the poems of Sappho’s first book, seem to have been about family, biography and cult, together with poems about love/Aphrodite. Sa. 5 and the Brothers Poem contain many of these elements: cultic addresses to divinities (Nereids, Hera, Zeus; possibly Aphrodite and Dionysus), a brother’s wanderings, sisterly affection and loyalty, Sappho’s and others’ relations with him and the community, a hoped-for shift from bad times to good. The Kypris Poem, as far as we can tell, is almost exclusively concerned with love/Aphrodite, although there may be an allusion to cult in the address to Kypris. The poem may have also contained ‘biographical’ details in documenting the poet’s own feelings for someone and encounter with divinity in the past or present.

RELATION TO SAPPHO FR. 5

The similarity of content and mention of Sappho’s brothers in the Brothers Poem point to a connection with Sa. 5, where a brother and sister are mentioned but not named. The language of the Brothers poem at 7 ἐξίκεοθαι τυίδε seems to replicate that of Sa. 5.2 τυίδ’ ἱκεθο[1] (cf. Brothers Poem 19n.). Many scholars have thought that Sa. 5 ends after the fifth stanza at line 20, after the reverent and triumphant invocation of Kypris at lines 18-20. In P. GC. inv. 105, this comes at line 29 in its column, the last line of the fragment, which contains the greatest number of lines of any fragment of P. GC. inv. 105, and the same number of lines as the present fragment. Whether further lines followed in either column is unknown, since in both fragments the text breaks off at the bottom before end of column. Based on P. GC. inv. 105 Fr.2 col. ii and analysis of the continuity of Sa.16, there could be 0-2 stanzas following Sa. 5 after the fragmentary end of Fr.3 col. ii before the end of the original column. The present fragment cannot then be the following column—at least one column must have intervened, since a kollesis or sheet-join is visible along its far-right edge, whereas the preserved part of Sa. 5 in P. GC. inv. 105 stands at the far right edge of a sheet c.30 cms. in length that contained frr. 2-3 and comprises parts of four columns. It is again possible that the papyrus preceded P. GC. inv. 105 frr. 2-3 in the roll. If it did, it was certainly followed at least by the Kypris Poem, and then Sa. 15 (also probably about Charaxos) before P. GC. inv. 105 fr. 2 i, which begins in the
middle of Sa. 16 and is followed there by Sa. 17, possibly 18 (a sequence that is also known from the copy of Sappho book 1 in P. Oxy. 1231), and finally by another poem before Sa. 5. If it did directly follow P. GC. inv. 105 frs. 2-3, at least one column intervened containing at least one poem plus the beginning of the Brothers poem—both of them together in at least seven stanzas. Since line 1 of the Brothers Poem cannot be its opening, there must have been at least one and possibly two or even three stanzas of it beginning in the preceding column. The last would bring it up to the maximum known number of stanzas in a Sapphic poem from book 1 (Sa. 1 = seven stanzas).

A connection between the Brothers Poem and Sa. 5, which begins with an invocation of the Nereids, then mentions a brother, and finally invokes Kypris, is the context of strong personal and family and social and civic relations, emphasis on social and cultic behavior, civic and cosmic justice, and the threat of loss or security of safety through sea faring, in which one or even both brothers Charaxos and Larichos are engaged. There are also a number of fragments which contain themes of sea-faring (as in Alcaeus, famous for his use of sea-faring as allegories). In the bare remains of Sa. 20, on a dangerous sea-journey, there occur elements that overlap with ideas in the Brothers Poem, Sa. 5, and Sa. 15: fr. 20.4 τ[ύχαι] κ[ω][ν] ἔξελατ, 5 λ[ε][μενος, 6 γ]ά[ς μελαίνας, 8 ναύται, 9 μεγάλαις ἀήται[c, 12 πλέοι, 13, τὰ φόρτι’ εἴκ]. It is at any rate clear that not all of these come from one and the same poem, whether or not any of them mentioned by name Doricha or Rhodopis or Charaxos, as the Brothers Poem does now the last. At least one of Sappho’s poems, reflected in a testimonium, almost certainly named Sappho’s younger brother Larichos, who is now mentioned at Brothers Poem 18, acting as a boy in the honorary capacity of a wine-pourer for the banquets of the civic elite of Mytilene. We seem to have a cycle of poems centering

---

4 Ferrari 159 n.24 compares Sa. 20.4 τ[ύχαι] κ[ω][ν] ἔξελατ with 15.7 κ[ω][ν] τύχαι; Sa. 20.5 λ[ε][μενος with 15.7 λ[ε][μενος; Sa. 20.8 ναύται with 15.6 ναύταις[ι]. The connection between Sa. 5, Sa. 15 and 20 was noted already by Schubart 315 and Schadewaldt 137. For Sa. 20 see also Milne.

5 Athen. 10.425a (Sa. T 203 Voigt) Σαπφώ τε ἡ καλὴ πολλαχοῦ Λάριχον τῶν ἀδελφῶν ἐποίησεν ὡς οἴνοχοιόντα ἐν τῷ πρωτανείῳ τοῖς Μυτιληναίοις. The lovely Sappho often praises her brother Larichos because he poured the wine for the Mytileneans in the town-hall’. Mention of Larichos may have come in Sa. 141, a description of a divine wedding with Hermes as wine-pourer (quoted by Athenaeus just below at 10.425d): ‘There a bowl of ambrosia had been mixed, and Hermes took the jug and poured the wine for the gods…. They [subject uncertain] all held drinking-cups, and the offered libations and prayed for all manner of blessings on the bridegroom.’ For Larichos see also Eust. Ad II. 1205.18ff; schol. T II. 20.234 ἕθος γὰρ ἦν, ὡς καὶ Σαπφῶ φησί, νέος εὐγενεῖς εὐπρεπεῖς οἰνοχοεῖν, ‘For it was the custom, as Sappho says, for handsome young noblemen to pour the wine’.
on the family’s role in civic, social, and business life and frequently employing images of sea-faring, viticulture, and wine-trading.

THE NEW POEMS AND SAPPHO BOOK 1

The two new poems instance types that come to be seen as alternating in book 1 of Sappho. The book contained poems about (i) family and biography and/or cult, for adults, and (ii) unrequited passion, for adolescents, while offering a window into adult experience, since the speaker repeatedly represents herself as having experienced these things in the past.

At the end of the Brothers Poem, Sappho hopes that Larichos will grow up to become a man (18). ἄνηρ is a rare word in Sappho (common in Alcaeus). Apart from the τέκτονεϲ ἄνδρεϲ of Sa. 111.3, there is the god-like groom of Sa. 111.5-7, the god-like man of Sa. 31 who may be in the husband’s role; Menelaus in both Sappho (Sa. 16) and Alcaeus (Alc. 42), as the good husband Helen left; men who sing a pious hymn to godlike Hector and Andromache in Sa. 44.32-4; and in Alcaeus various men who are rich, powerful, distinguished, power-hungry, hard-drinking or even wicked: that is, men of the political and symptic class, like the hard-drinking ἄνηρ of Alc. 72. Presumably, Larichos, who might have poured wine in the prytaneion (Sappho fr. 203a Voigt) as a youth, should grow up to assume the status of those whom he had the honor of serving, supported by the family wealth—free to live his life as a member of the leading, leisured class (ἀέργει in Brothers Poem 17—here positive, not pejorative).

The point is not that Larichos should survive and grow up: he should become an ἄνηρ in all senses. Presumably this would include marriage and the production of legitimate offspring, and would be threatened by Charaxos’ not arriving ‘with a full ship’ (perhaps after squandering the whole cargo on a whore in some port), and failing to come home with a ship laden with goods, spices and perfume, traded in kind for wine.

---

In the Brothers Poem as we have it, Sappho concludes by having her delegation challenge the addressee (and by extension, her audience) to remember that Charaxos’ success and safety is in the hands of the gods and attainable (if at all) only through the correct form of prayer in song. Against this is held up universal knowledge of the all gods and the cosmos by the speaker, and the power of hymnic song, framed in the poem, to help secure Charaxos’ safety, as well as the safety and prosperity of the family or community. Perhaps Herodotus’ readers and Hellenistic scholars even imagined that, if Charaxos were innocent of any wrong-doing, he would not need her prayers. She looks forward to his thus returning to Lesbos, and imagines and advises how one would have to pray to the gods to secure his safe passage, and in the course of which she herself does so: *i.e. performs this hymnic prayer*—putatively in the future, just as in Sa. 1 she re-performs one that she narrates in the past, thus bringing it into the immediacy of the present. First and foremost, the text may be seen as a wish for Charaxos to come home (*ἔλθην*), at most anxiety that he is gone (*ἔλθην*), and a prayer that he come home happily.

The text below presents a diplomatic version on the left, followed by an articulated text on the right.

**TEXT**

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{αλλαϊθρυληςαχαραξονελθην} & \quad \text{άλλα ἄι θρύληθα Χάραξον ἐλθην} \\
\text{ναιςυμπλειαταμειμ μι οξευ} & \quad ινὶ εὑμ πλεια να μεν οιμαι Ζευς \\
\text{οιδευμπαντετεθειοεκ εδ σιχρη} & \quad οιδε συμπαντες τε θεοι εδ ου χρη \\
\text{ταυτανοιειθαι} & \quad ταύτα νόειεθαι
\end{align*}
\]

5  \[
\begin{align*}
\text{αλλακαπεμπεμκεκεκηνε} & \quad \text{άλλα καὶ πέμπην ἔμε καὶ κέληνε έθαι} \\
\text{πολλαλεεεθαβακ αν παν} & \quad \text{πόλλα λίκεεθαλα βαζελην ήραν} \\
\text{εξικεθαινιδεαναγοντα} & \quad \text{εξικεθαι τυίδε καν ἀγοντα} \\
\text{νααχαραξον} & \quad \text{ναα Χάραξον}
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{κομμεπευρηναρμεαναδαλλα} & \quad \text{κάμμε ἐπεύρην ἀρτέμεας τα δ’ αλλα}
\end{align*}
\]
πανταδαιμονες  ενεπροσομεν  ευδιαια  εκμεγαλανασθαι  αισαπνται

tων κεβολληται βασιλευολυμπω δαιμονεκπονονεπαρηγογονηθη  
περτροπηηνηνοι οκαρεπελονται καιπολυολβοι

καιμεκακετανκεφαλα  ναερ η  
λαρ  χοικαδηποταν  γεννηθαι  
καιμαλεπολλη[η]ανβα  νθ  μια  κεν
αισαλοθειμεν

πω  κεδητισουθαμε  acaio  o  
κυπριδε  ec  o  . . . [ . . . ] Φ
. . . ]θελοιμαλιστα  . . . κα[  
. . . ]ονεχηθα

πω {ε}  κε δη τις  ου  θαμεως  άκαιτο  
. . . ] θελοι  μαλλιστα  τ . . . καλ[- . -]
. . . ]ον  έχηθα

[___]

[___]

. . . ] αλοις  μ’αλεμι  ο  α” . . [  
. . . ]ρολυ  σαντινο  ε  [  
. . . ]α α  . . [  μμ  o[ ] περη[  
. . . ]ν  ερ  . . .

. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . .

. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . .
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